

METHOD OF DETERMINING AN ELECTRICAL CAPACITANCE OF
A CIRCUIT COMPONENT AND METHOD OF DEFINING
A DIMENSION OF SUCH A COMPONENT

5

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to electrical capacitance generally, and, more particularly, to
10 methods of (i) determining the electrical capacitance of a circuit component, and (ii) defining a dimension of such a circuit component.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15

There is a recurrent need to determine the electrostatic interaction capacitance between two conducting parts when designing integrated circuits. The determination is carried out in particular to
20 characterize capacitors used in circuits, or to characterize electrostatic interactions between various conducting parts such as, for example, electrical signal transmission tracks. This is because such interactions introduce delays in the electrical
25 operation of the circuit incorporating these conducting parts. In the case of electrical signal transmission tracks, these delays reduce the signal transmission rate.

A first method of determining such capacitances consists in solving the fundamental electrostatic equations known to those skilled in the art. These equations may especially be reduced to the Laplace
5 equation relating to the electrostatic potential, combined with boundary conditions suitable for the conducting parts envisaged. However, an exact solution of the problem thus posed is possible only for simple geometrical configurations of the conducting parts,
10 which in general do not correspond to actual configurations used in integrated circuits.

Methods for the approximate solution of the Laplace equation, especially by finite elements, have been developed which allow the electrostatic potential
15 to be calculated at defined points in the vicinity of the conducting parts. An estimate of the capacitance between two conducting parts can therefore be easily deduced therefrom. In order for this estimate to be sufficiently accurate, such methods require the
20 electrostatic potential to be calculated at a very large number of points. Consequently, they are tedious and lengthy, they require the use of computing stations of high computing power, and they are expensive in terms of computing time.

To reduce the amount of computing needed for finite element methods, it is common practice to make approximations and simplifications which impair the accuracy of the estimate obtained. These
5 approximations especially comprise simplifications of the configuration of the conducting parts, such as, the fact of neglecting the thickness of conducting plates with respect to their length and their width. The estimate of the capacitance calculated in this way
10 therefore often differs by 10%, or even 14%, from the actual capacitance, when the latter can, for example, be determined experimentally.

In general, the relative difference between the capacitance thus estimated and the actual capacitance
15 of an integrated component increases when the size of said component decreases. This increase results especially from contributions neglected in the calculation of the capacitance, the magnitude of which increases relative to the contributions taken into
20 account in the calculation, when the component becomes smaller. Such neglected contributions are, for example, associated with the edges of conducting parts. The increasing integration of electronic circuits fabricated at the present time consequently requires
25 accurate methods of estimating capacitances.

Finally, for some geometrical configurations of the conducting parts, empirical methods have been developed over the last few decades, which are based on experimental results obtained for simplified geometries
5 and which considerably reduce the amount of computing needed.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a method of estimating the capacitance between two conducting parts arranged in a particular configuration, which is rapid and requires neither approximations nor simplifications that substantially impair the accuracy of the estimate. In addition, the envisaged configuration includes two separate dielectrics placed near the conducting parts, which configuration corresponds to many actual electronic components.

The present invention relates to a circuit component comprising:

- a first rectangular conducting plate (1), having a width W , a length L and a thickness t_{M1} ;
- a second conducting plate, parallel to the first plate and separated from the latter by a distance t_{ox} , having a rectangular central part facing the first plate and a peripheral part surrounding said central part;
- a first homogeneous dielectric, of relative dielectric permittivity ϵ_{ox} , placed between the first and second plates and having a thickness of t_{ox} between the two plates and of t_{oxst} in line with said peripheral part of the second plate, so that said first dielectric

has a height step $t_{ox} - t_{oxst}$ around the perimeter of the first plate; and

- a second homogeneous dielectric, of relative dielectric permittivity ϵ_E , surrounding the first plate
- 5 and the first dielectric.

This configuration of conducting parts, combined with two dielectrics, corresponds to a frequently used integrated capacitor design compatible with MIM (Metal

10 Insulator Metal) technology. The dimensions of the first conducting plate, which are less than those of the second conducting plate, reduce any dispersion in the actual capacitances of a series of capacitors caused by a misalignment of the two plates with respect

15 to each other during fabrication of the capacitors.

Moreover, to increase the capacitance of a capacitor having such a structure without increasing its dimensions, the first dielectric may be chosen so as to possess a high relative dielectric permittivity,

20 for example of around 23. The second dielectric may, for example, be silica, depending on the process for fabricating the electrical circuit that includes the capacitor. The relative dielectric permittivity of silica is around 4, lying between 3.7 and 4.2. Thus,

25 thanks to the distinction between the two dielectrics

in the method of the invention, the first dielectric may be especially chosen according to particular requirements, in particular those associated with the nature of the circuit component, while preserving a
 5 second dielectric suited to the technology for producing the electrical circuit.

The method of estimation according to the invention comprises the estimation of the capacitance of the component as a sum of several terms including at
 10 least two terms of the form $C_0 \cdot W \cdot L$ and $C_1 \cdot 2(W+L)$, with

$$C_0 = \frac{\epsilon_0 \cdot \epsilon_{ox}}{t_{ox}} \text{ and } C_1 = \frac{\epsilon_0}{\pi} \cdot K \cdot \ln(a),$$

• ϵ_0 being the dielectric permittivity of free space,

$$K = \frac{\epsilon_{ox} \cdot \epsilon_E}{\epsilon_{ox} - \left(\frac{(\epsilon_E - \epsilon_{ox})^2}{(\epsilon_E + \epsilon_{ox})} \cdot \frac{t_{oxSt}}{t_{ox}} \right)},$$

15

$$a = -1 + 2k^2 + 2k\sqrt{k^2 - 1} \text{ avec } k = 1 + \frac{t_{M1}}{t_{ox}}.$$

The inventors have in fact found that the sum
 $C_0 \cdot W \cdot L + C_1 \cdot 2(W+L)$ constitutes in many cases a
 20 sufficiently accurate estimate of the capacitance.

In the preferred implementation of the invention, the sum forming the estimate of the capacitance includes two additional terms, generally less than the above two terms. These two additional terms increase the

accuracy of the estimate obtained. They are of the form

$[C_2(W) + C_3(W)] \cdot 2L$ and $[C_2(L) + C_3(L)] \cdot 2W$, with, for $x = W$ or L :

$$C_2(x) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{\pi} \cdot K \cdot \ln\left(\frac{u(x)}{a}\right) , \text{ and}$$

5

$$C_3(x) = \frac{\epsilon_0 \cdot \epsilon_{ox}}{\pi} \cdot [2 - \ln 4 - \ln(1 - 2 \exp(-2\theta(x)))] ,$$

- \ln denoting the natural logarithm,

10 • the quantity $u(x)$ being an estimate of a solution of the equation

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{x}{t_{ox}} = -\frac{a+1}{\sqrt{a}} \cdot \ln\left(\frac{R(x)+1}{R(x)-1}\right) + \frac{a-1}{\sqrt{a}} \cdot \frac{R(x)}{(R(x)^2-1)} + \ln\left(\frac{R(x)\sqrt{a}+1}{R(x)\sqrt{a}-1}\right)$$

15 with $R(x) = \sqrt{\frac{u(x)-1}{u(x)-a}} ,$

- \exp denoting the exponential of base e , and

- $\theta(x) = 1 + \pi \frac{x}{2t_{ox}} .$

20

The two terms $[C_2(W)+C_3(W)] \cdot 2L$ and $[C_2(L)+C_3(L)] \cdot 2W$ are therefore added to the sum forming the estimate of the component's capacitance. Thus, according to the preferred implementation of the invention, the estimate

25 of the capacitance may be written :

$$C = C_0 \cdot W \cdot L + C_1 \cdot 2(W+L) + [C_2(W) + C_3(W)] \cdot 2L + [C_2(L) + C_3(L)] \cdot 2W$$

Optionally, other terms may also be added thereto.

One particular advantage of the method of the invention and of its preferred implementation lies in the ease of
 5 their programming, coupled with the fact that only simple equations are used to obtain the estimate of the capacitance. Standard computing instruments are therefore sufficient.

Preferably, the quantity $u(x)$ is obtained using an
 10 iterative method of approximate solution, applied to the equation :

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{x}{t_{ox}} = -\frac{a+1}{\sqrt{a}} \cdot \text{Ln}\left(\frac{R(x)+1}{R(x)-1}\right) + \frac{a-1}{\sqrt{a}} \cdot \frac{R(x)}{(R(x)^2-1)} + \text{Ln}\left(\frac{R(x)\sqrt{a}+1}{R(x)\sqrt{a}-1}\right)$$

15 with $R(x) = \sqrt{\frac{u(x)-1}{u(x)-a}}$. Such a method is, for example that

called "Newton's method" or "method of tangents", known to those skilled in the art. The estimate of the capacitance C is then coupled analytically with the geometrical parameters of the first and second plates
 20 and with the relative dielectric permittivities of the first and second dielectrics, thereby allowing a particularly simple determination of the capacitance.

The invention also relates to a method of numerically simulating the electrical behaviour of a
 25 circuit, which uses at least one capacitance of a

component of the circuit determined in the above manner. Apart from the case of a capacitor, such a capacitance may arise in many other components. Among these other components, mention may be made of cases in
5 which the first and second conducting plates correspond to two portions of electrical signal transmission tracks, or else to components in which the second conducting plate corresponds to an electrically conducting substrate carrying the first and second
10 dielectrics and the first conducting plate.

Optionally, the electrical component may furthermore include, in addition to the first and second conducting plates, a substantially plane electrically conducting substrate placed parallel to
15 the two plates, to the rear of the second plate, the substrate comprising a rectangular central part facing the second plate and a peripheral part surrounding said central part, and in which the second dielectric is furthermore placed between the second conducting plate
20 and the substrate. The method of the invention can then be used again to estimate the interaction capacitance between the second plate and the substrate, different from the capacitance between the two conducting plates.

The invention also relates to a method of
25 determining the dimensions of a capacitor formed from

two conducting plates and two dielectrics arranged in the manner described above. The permittivities ϵ_{ox} and ϵ_E and the thicknesses t_{ox} , t_{M1} and t_{oxSt} are fixed by the capacitor's fabrication process, whereas the width W is
5 determined by the circuit designer. The operation of defining the dimensions of the capacitor then consists in determining the length L of the first plate in order to obtain a fixed capacitance C_u .

The method of determining the dimensions of the
10 capacitor includes, just as in the method of estimation described above, the calculation of the quantities C_0 and C_1 defined above and comprises the calculation of a first approximate value L_1 of the length L as a sum of first terms divided by a sum of second terms, said
15 first terms comprising C_u and at least one term of the form $-2.C_1.W$ and said second terms comprising at least two terms of the form $C_0.W$ and $2.C_1$. The value of L_1 is thus given by the equation :
$$L_1 = \frac{C_u - 2 \cdot C_1 \cdot W}{C_0 \cdot W + 2C_1} .$$

Just as in the case of the preferred
20 implementation of the method of estimation of the invention, the method of defining the dimensions may furthermore include the calculation of the quantities $C_2(W)$, $C_2(L_0)$, $C_3(W)$ and $C_3(L_0)$, L_0 being a defined initial value. Said first terms then furthermore

include two terms of the form $-2.C_2(L_0).W$ and $-2.C_3(L_0).W$ and said second terms furthermore include two terms of the form $2.C_2(W)$ and $2.C_3(W)$. The first approximate value L_1 of the length L is then given by
 5 the equation :

$$L_1(L_0) = \frac{C_u - 2W \cdot (C_1 + C_2(L_0) + C_3(L_0))}{C_0 \cdot W + 2C_1 + 2(C_2(W) + C_3(W))} .$$

Optionally, a second approximate value L_2 of L may
 10 be obtained by applying the above formula to the first approximate value L_1 . Thus the following expression is calculated :

$$L_2(L_1) = \frac{C_u - 2W \cdot (C_1 + C_2(L_1) + C_3(L_1))}{C_0 \cdot W + 2C_1 + 2(C_2(W) + C_3(W))} .$$

15 In the identical manner, successive iterations of the same formula, applied in succession to the approximate value resulting from the previous iteration, make it possible to obtain more and more
 20 accurate approximate values of L . The initial value L_0 may be taken to be equal to W .

Just as in the case of estimating the capacitance, the quantities u associated with the values of W , L_0 , L_1 , etc. may be obtained using an iterative method for
 25 obtaining an approximate solution of an equation, known to those skilled in the art. This iterative method may

be again, for example, that called Newton's method.

Finally, the invention relates to a computer program comprising instructions for applying, when running the program in a computer, a method of
5 estimating a capacitance, of simulating an electrical operation or of defining the dimensions of a capacitor as described above.

Before undertaking a DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION, it may be advantageous to set forth a
10 definition of certain words and phrases used throughout this patent document: the terms "include" and "comprise," as well as derivatives thereof, mean inclusion without limitation; the term "or," is inclusive, meaning and/or; the phrase "associated
15 with," as well as derivatives thereof, may mean to include, be included within, interconnect with, contain, be contained within, connect to or with, coupled to or with, be communicable with, cooperate with, interleave, juxtapose, be proximate to, be bound
20 to or with, have, have a property of, or the like; the term "memory" means any storage device, combination of storage devices, or part thereof whether centralized or distributed, whether locally or remotely; and the terms "controller," "processor" and "circuitry" mean any
25 device, system or part thereof that controls at least

one operation, such a device, system or part thereof may be implemented in hardware, firmware or software, or some combination of at least two of the same.

It should be noted that the functionality
5 associated with any particular controller, processor or circuitry may be centralized or distributed, whether locally or remotely. In particular, a controller, processor or circuitry may comprise one or more data processors, and associated input/output devices and
10 memory that execute one or more application programs and/or an operating system program.

Additional definitions for certain words and phrases are provided throughout this patent document, those of ordinary skill in the art should understand
15 that in many, if not most instances, such definitions apply to prior uses, as well as future uses, of such defined words and phrases.

The foregoing has outlined rather broadly the features and technical advantages of the present
20 invention so that those skilled in the art may better understand the detailed description of the invention that follows. Additional features and advantages of the invention will be described hereinafter that form the subject of the claims of the invention. Those skilled
25 in the art should appreciate that they may readily use

the conception and the specific embodiment disclosed as
a basis for modifying or designing other structures for
carrying out the same purposes of the present
invention. Those skilled in the art should also
5 realize that such equivalent constructions do not
depart from the spirit and scope of the invention in
its broadest form.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a more complete understanding of the present invention, and the advantages thereof, reference is made to the following descriptions taken in conjunction
5 with the accompanying drawings, wherein like numbers designate like objects, and in which:

Figure 1 is a perspective diagram of a capacitor to which the invention applies;

Figure 2 is a sectional view of the capacitor of
10 Figure 1;

Figure 3 is a sectional view of an electrical circuit incorporating the capacitor of Figures 1 and 2;

Figure 4 illustrates partial capacitors associated with various terms of the estimate according to the
15 invention of the capacitance of the capacitor of Figures 1 and 2;

Figure 5 shows various regions of the lower plate of the capacitor of Figures 1 and 2;

Figure 6 shows the variations of three terms of
20 the estimate of the capacitance of the capacitor of Figures 1 and 2; and

Figure 7 is a sectional view of an electrical signal transmission track to which the invention applies.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

FIGURES 1 through 7, discussed below, and the various embodiments used to describe the principles of the present invention in this patent document, are by way of illustration only and should not be construed in any way to limit the scope of the invention. Those skilled in the art will understand that the principles of the present invention may be implemented in any suitably arranged system, as well as method of operating the same, for determining the electrical capacitance of a circuit component, and defining a dimension of such a circuit component.

In these figures, for the sake of clarity, the dimensions of the various parts of components shown are not in proportion with the actual dimensions. In all the figures, identical references correspond to similar elements. N denotes a direction perpendicular to the plates, directed upwards in the figures. The terms "above", "below", "on", "under", "upper" and "lower" used below refer to this orientation.

Embodiments of the invention will now be described more particularly within the context of a first example corresponding to the estimate of the capacitance of a parallel-faced capacitor having an approximate value of 2 femtofarads per square micron ($2 \text{ fF}/\mu\text{m}^2$). Such a

capacitor is formed from two plane rectangular metal plates arranged facing each other. The first plate 1, in the upper position in Figure 1, has a thickness t_{M1} of 0.18 microns (denoted hereinafter by μm), a width W of 3.5 μm and a length L of 138 μm . The second plate 2, in the lower position in Figure 1, has for example a width of 5.9 μm and a length of 140.4 μm , which are parallel to the width W and to the length L of the plate 1, respectively. Thus, the plate 2 has a peripheral part 1.2 μm in width (denoted by ΔW in Figure 3) which extends around a projection of the plate 1 on the plate 2 in the direction N . The two plates 1, 2 are made of copper or aluminium for example.

15 A first dielectric 3 covers the upper face of the plate 2 and is in contact with the plate 1, against the lower face of the latter. The dielectric 3 has a thickness t_{ox} of 0.032 μm between the plates 1 and 2, and a thickness t_{oxst} of 0.015 μm outside the inter-plate space. The dielectric 3 therefore has, on its upper face, a step of height $t_{\text{ox}} - t_{\text{oxst}}$ of 0.017 μm located in line with the perimeter of the plate 1. Figure 2 is a sectional view of the capacitor indicating t_{ox} and t_{oxst} . The dielectric 3 is, for example, tantalum oxide Ta_2O_5 with a relative dielectric

permittivity ϵ_{ox} of 7.25.

A second dielectric 4 is placed above the plate 1 and the dielectric 3 (see Figure 2). It is composed of silica, for example, with a relative dielectric
5 permittivity ϵ_E of 4.0.

Figure 3 shows a section through an integrated circuit having several metallization levels M1, M2, M3, M4, M4a, M5 and M6 placed above a conducting silicon substrate 10. M4a is an additional level especially
10 provided for producing the plate 1. Each metallization level comprises a silica layer 11, 12, ..., 16 and 14a in which metal parts 21, 22, ..., 26 and 24a are formed. The layer 14 corresponds to the dielectric 4. The metal parts 21, 22, ..., 26 and 24a constitute
15 electrical connections parallel to the substrate 10 or along the direction N. In the latter case, they are known as vias. The metallization levels M1, ..., M6 and M4a may be produced using the damascene method known to those skilled in the art.

20 The plate 2 is produced within the metallization level M4. The dielectric 3 is placed in a volume especially provided within the layer 14 of the level M4.

As illustrated in Figure 3, the lateral extensions ΔW
25 of the plate 2 relative to the plate 1 make it possible

to provide vias 20 for contact with the plate 2, these being placed around the periphery of the upper face of the plate 2, without these vias coming into electrical contact with the plate 1. In addition, a misalignment
 5 of the metallization levels M4 and M4a with respect to each other, parallel to the surface of the substrate 10, due to insufficient control of the position of the lithography masks used in the production of these levels, slightly impairs the capacitance of the
 10 capacitor. The plate 1 therefore is still entirely opposite a part of the plate 2.

Using the above numerical values of the permittivities and thicknesses, and with $\epsilon_0 = 8.854 \times 10^{-12}$ F/m for the dielectric permittivity of free space,
 15 the values of the following intermediate quantities are obtained using the formulae given below:

$$C_0 = \frac{\epsilon_0 \cdot \epsilon_{ox}}{t_{ox}} \quad C_0 = 2,006 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ F/m}^2$$

$$K = \frac{\epsilon_{ox} \cdot \epsilon_E}{\epsilon_{ox} - \left(\frac{(\epsilon_E - \epsilon_{ox})^2}{(\epsilon_E + \epsilon_{ox})} \cdot \frac{t_{oxSt}}{t_{ox}} \right)} \quad K = 3,771$$

$$k = 1 + \frac{t_{M1}}{t_{ox}} \quad k = 6,625$$

$$20 \quad a = -1 + 2k^2 + 2k\sqrt{k^2 - 1} \quad a = 173,6$$

$$C_1 = \frac{\epsilon_0}{\pi} \cdot K \cdot \ln(a) \quad C_1 = 6,189 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ fF}/\mu\text{m}$$

The first two terms of the estimate of the capacitance C are then : $C_0.W.L = 969.30$ fF and $C_{1.2}(W+L) = 17.52$ fF, and, by addition, a first
 5 estimate of the capacitance C is 986.82 fF.

This first estimate may be improved by taking account of the terms associated with C_2 and C_3 . Transferring the above values of t_{ox} and a into the equation satisfied by $u(x)$, the following numerical
 10 equation is obtained :

$$49087385 \cdot x = -13,25 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{R(x) + 1}{R(x) - 1}\right) + 13,10 \cdot \frac{R(x)}{(R(x)^2 - 1)} + \ln\left(\frac{13,17 \cdot R(x) + 1}{13,17 \cdot R(x) - 1}\right)$$

$$\text{with } R(x) = \sqrt{\frac{u(x) - 1}{u(x) - 173,56}}.$$

15 By applying Newton's method for the approximate solution of this numerical equation, the following values of u are obtained for $x = W = 3.5 \mu\text{m}$ and $x = L = 138 \mu\text{m}$, namely $u(W) = 2708.57$ and $u(L) = 90056.39$. Thus :

$$20 \quad C_2(W) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{\pi} \cdot K \cdot \ln\left(\frac{u(W)}{a}\right), \text{ i.e. } C_2(W) = 3.298 \times 10^{-11} \text{ F/m},$$

and

$$C_2(L) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{\pi} K \cdot \ln\left(\frac{u(L)}{a}\right), \text{ i.e. } C_2(L) = 7.503 \times 10^{-11} \text{ F/m}.$$

25 Putting $x = W = 3.5 \mu\text{m}$ and $x = L = 138 \mu\text{m}$ into the

expression $\theta(x) = 1 + \pi \frac{x}{2t_{ox}}$, we obtain $\theta(W) = 172.81$ and

$\theta(L) = 6777.76$. The equation :

$$C_3(x) = \frac{\epsilon_0 \cdot \epsilon_{ox}}{\pi} \cdot [2 - \ln 4 - \ln(1 - 2 \exp(-2\theta(x)))]$$

then gives $C_3(W) \approx C_3(L) \approx 1.254 \times 10^{-11}$ F/m. The two
 5 additional additive terms of the estimate of C are
 consequently: $[C_2(W) + C_3(W)] \cdot 2L = 12.56$ fF and
 $[C_2(L) + C_3(L)] \cdot 2W = 0.613$ fF. The complete estimate of C
 is then 999.98 fF.

The inventors have also proposed a physical
 10 interpretation of the various terms above in the
 estimate of the capacitance C, in the form of partial
 capacitors placed in parallel with respect to one
 another. These partial capacitors are identified in the
 manner described below and illustrated schematically in
 15 Figure 4 which takes the plates 1 and 2 and the
 dielectrics 3 and 4 of Figure 1 for one half of the
 capacitor shown.

The term $C_0.W.L$, which constitutes the main
 contribution to the estimate of C, corresponds to a
 20 first partial capacitor formed by the lower surface of
 the plate 1 and by the central part P_0 of the upper
 surface of the plate 2, facing the plate 1 along the
 direction N. This term corresponds to the expression

for the capacitance of a plane capacitor with identical plates, known to those skilled in the art.

The other terms of the sum in the estimate of the capacitance C are contributions from the periphery of the capacitor, these being proportional to the elements
5 having the length of this periphery.

The term $C_1.2(W+L)$ corresponds to a capacitance per unit length C_1 multiplied by the length of the perimeter of the plate 1. It may be combined with a
10 partial capacitor, labelled C_1 in Figure 4, formed by the sides 1c of the plate 1 that are parallel to the direction N and by a region P_1 of the peripheral part of the plate 2, shown in Figures 4 and 5. This is because electric field lines link these plate parts
15 together, contributing to the overall capacitor effect.

The terms $[C_2(W)+C_3(W)].2L$ and $[C_2(L)+C_3(L)].2W$ correspond to contributions from the edges of the plate 1, taken in pairs along the length and along the width of the plate 1, respectively. They are thus
20 proportional to $2L$ and $2W$, respectively. $C_2(W).2L$ corresponds to a partial capacitor, formed, on the one hand, by a peripheral region of the upper face of the plate 1, along each edge of length L of the plate 1, and, on the other hand, by a peripheral region P_{2L} of
25 the upper face of the plate 2 (partial capacitor

labelled $C_2(W)$ in Figure 4; see also Figure 5). The region P_{2L} is located outside the region P_1 .

The term $C_3(W).2L$ corresponds to a partial capacitor formed, on the one hand, by a peripheral region of the lower face of the plate 1, along an edge of length L of the plate 1, and, on the other hand, by a peripheral region P_{3L} of the upper face of the plate 2 (partial capacitor labelled $C_3(W)$ in Figure 4; see also Figure 5). The region P_{3L} is located between the regions P_0 and P_1 .

These physical interpretations of the terms $C_2(W).2L$ and $C_3(W).2L$ may be transposed in order to interpret the terms $C_2(L).2W$ and $C_3(L).2W$ by introducing the regions P_{2W} and P_{3W} of the upper surface of the plate 2, as shown in Figure 5.

When the size of the capacitor is reduced, corresponding to fabrication technologies according to increasing levels of integration, the various terms in the estimate of C appear in the following order of importance : $C_0.W.L > C_1.2(W+L) > C_2(W).2L, C_3(W).2L, C_2(L).2W$ and $C_3(L).2W$. This classification reveals the predominant contribution due to the thickness of the plate 1 relative to the respective peripheral contributions of the upper and lower faces of the plate 1. This is illustrated by Figure 6 which shows the

variations of the three terms $C_1.2(W+L)$, $C_2(W).2L+C_2(L).2W$ and $C_3(W).2L+C_3(L).2W$ when the thickness t_{M1} of the plate 1 varies. The values of t_{ox} , t_{oxst} , W , L , ϵ_{ox} , ϵ_E corresponding to Figure 6 are those mentioned above.

To obtain particularly accurate numerical simulations of the behaviour of the capacitor within the electrical circuit shown in Figure 3, the interaction between the capacitor and the substrate 10 may be described by another capacitance, called the substrate interaction capacitance. To simplify matters, this substrate interaction capacitance takes into account only the effect of the substrate on the plate 2, closer to the substrate 10 than the plate 1. In Figure 3, d represents the distance between the surface of the substrate 10 and the lower face of the plate 2, i.e. $5.32 \mu m$ in the example in question. ΔW represents the additional width portions of the plate 2 relative to the plate 1 in the plane of Figure 3. Taking the dimensions mentioned above, the plate 2 has overlenghts of the same magnitude relative to the plate 1 along the direction perpendicular to the plane of Figure 3.

It is apparent that the principles and formulae presented above in relation to the two plates 1 and 2 may be transposed to the system formed by the plate 2

and the substrate 10. These principles and formulae make it possible to take into account, in the same way, two different dielectrics placed in the metallization levels M1 to M4 in a configuration similar to that of
5 the above dielectrics 3 and 4.

A second illustrative example of the invention will now be described, this relating to the definition of a dimension of a parallel-faced capacitor whose capacitance per unit area is approximately $5 \text{ fF}/\mu\text{m}^2$.
10 Such a capacitor possesses a structure similar to that shown in Figures 1 and 2, with the following numerical data: $t_{M1} = 0.21 \mu\text{m}$; $t_{Ox} = 0.040 \mu\text{m}$; $t_{OxSt} = 0.036 \mu\text{m}$; $\epsilon_{Ox} = 23.0$; $\epsilon_E = 4.0$. The fabrication process used furthermore determines the width of the plate 1, namely
15 $W = 3.5 \mu\text{m}$. The process of defining a dimension of the capacitor consists in determining the length L of the plate 1 so that the interaction capacitance between the plates 1 and 2 has a value fixed by the designer of the electrical circuit incorporating the capacitor. To take
20 an example, the desired capacitance is 1000 fF.

A first estimate of the length L can take into account only the capacitance terms associated with C_0 and C_1 . In this case, the steps in the numerical estimate of L are the following :

5 - calculation of $C_0 = \frac{\epsilon_0 \cdot \epsilon_{ox}}{t_{ox}}$: i.e. $C_0 = 5.019 \times 10^{-3}$ F/m²;

- calculation of $K = \frac{\epsilon_{ox} \cdot \epsilon_E}{\epsilon_{ox} - \left(\frac{(\epsilon_E - \epsilon_{ox})^2}{(\epsilon_E + \epsilon_{ox})} \cdot \frac{t_{oxSt}}{t_{ox}} \right)}$:
i.e. $K = 2.651$;

- calculation of $k = 1 + \frac{t_{M1}}{t_{ox}}$: i.e. $k = 6.25$;

10

- calculation of $a = -1 + 2k^2 + 2k\sqrt{k^2 - 1}$:
i.e. $a = 154.24$;

- calculation of $C_1 = \frac{\epsilon_0}{\pi} \cdot K \cdot \ln(a)$: i.e. $C_2 = 1.156 \times 10^{-10}$ fF/m.

15

The first estimate L_1 of the length L_1 is then :

$$L_1 = \frac{C_u - 2 \cdot C_1 \cdot W}{C_0 \cdot W + 2C_1} \text{ i.e. } L_1 = 55.36 \text{ } \mu\text{m}.$$

20 This estimate L_1 is improved by furthermore taking into account the terms associated with C_2 and C_3 in the estimate of the capacitance of the capacitor. $C_2(W)$, $C_2(L_0)$, $C_3(W)$ and $C_3(L_0)$ are then calculated in the same way as in the first example. Taking as an initial value

25 $L_0 = W = 3.5 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$, we obtain : $C_2(W) = C_2(L_0) = 5.982 \times 10^{-11}$ F/m and $C_3(W) = C_3(L_0) = 3.978 \times 10^{-11}$ F/m. The first estimate L_1

of L is then:

$$L_1(L_0) = \frac{C_u - 2W \cdot (C_1 + C_2(L_0) + C_3(L_0))}{C_0 \cdot W + 2C_1 + 2(C_2(W) + C_3(W))} \quad \text{i.e. } L_1 = 54,714 \text{ } \mu\text{m}.$$

5

By iterating the application of this formula twice, we obtain $L_2(L_1) = 54.691 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$, and likewise $L_3(L_2) = 54.691 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$, which demonstrates the rapid convergence of the series of estimates of the length L thus obtained. The limit of this series gives the value of L to be adopted for fabrication of the capacitor, namely $L = 54.69 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$.

The advantages and benefits of this method of determining the length of a capacitor will be obviously apparent to those skilled in the art, in particular as regards the simplicity of the calculations used and the accuracy of the result obtained.

The two examples presented above illustrate two separate aspects of the invention. The first aspect consists in estimating a capacitance from all the geometrical data and from the dielectric permittivities of the materials of the electronic component. The second aspect consists in determining one dimension of a capacitor so as to obtain the desired capacitance. These two aspects relate to the same invention, both

being based on the same formulae used in two different ways by mathematical transformation.

The components in question in these two examples are capacitors, taken as an illustration. The invention
5 may be applied in the same way to components of another type, the structure of which is compatible with the configuration of the model. An electrical signal transmission track, as shown in Figure 7, constitutes an alternative example.

10 In Figure 7, a metal track 1, of parallelepipedal general shape and having a great length perpendicular to the plane of the figure, is placed within a layer of a dielectric 4 above the surface of a conducting substrate 2. The material of the track 1 is, for
15 example, copper and the substrate 2 is, for example, made of silicon. The dielectric 4 is, for example, silica. In a manner known per se, the electrostatic interactions between the track 1 and the substrate 2 are of the capacitive type and depend principally on
20 the dielectric permittivity of the dielectric 3 placed between the track 1 and the substrate 2. To reduce these interactions, the dielectric 3 is chosen so as to have a particularly low dielectric permittivity. To do this, it may be an organic material, for example of the
25 polymer type, or a porous material. Optionally, the

volume corresponding to the dielectric 3 may be left as a void, using a fabrication process adapted to the circuit thus designed. In one particularly used configuration, the dielectric 3 has two parallel faces
5 over its entire extent parallel to the substrate 2 so that $t_{ox} = t_{oxst}$.

The latter example again illustrates the particular benefit of the invention, which takes into account two different adjacent dielectric media 3 and
10 4, the respective electrostatic behaviour of which, characterized by their dielectric permittivities, may be very different.

Although the present invention has been described in detail, those skilled in the art should understand
15 that they can make various changes, substitutions and alterations herein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention in its broadest form.